SINGLE BENCH

(2)

C. f. 100' —

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF
CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 419 /2006

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

PETITIONER

Smt. Sandhya Thakur, aged about 30 years, W/o Shri S.K. Thakur, working as Staff nurse, K.D. Hospital Kanker Distt. North Bastar, Kanker, resident of village Lilajhar, Tah. Charama, Distt. Kanker (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4698/06
Presented by Shri K. Kandasamy
dated 2/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through – the Secretary, Department of Health and Family Welfare, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. The District Collector, North Bastar, Kanker (C.G.)
3. The Chief Medical & Health Officer, District North Bastar, Kanker (C.G.)
4. The Block Medical and Health Officer, Block Charama, Distt. North Bastar (Chhattisgarh)

RECEIVED-COPY
2/8/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG-2073/06
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 419 **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

10-08-2006

Shri A.V. Shridhar, counsel for the petitioner.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Dy. G.A. for the respondents No.1 to 4, on advance copy.

Heard.

By the instant petition, the petitioner has challenged her transfer order dated 6-7-2006 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from K.D. Hospital, Kanker to Civil Hospital, Pakhanjur.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that within a period of 3 years from the date of her appointment the petitioner has been transferred thrice; initially she was posted at Antagarh from where vide order dated 13-8-2004 she was transferred to Amodha and thereafter vide order dated 7-3-2005 she was transferred from Amodha to Kanker. Now, within a period of another one year 4 months, she has been transferred to Pakhanjur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is a low paid staff nurse and she has been subjected to transfer every year, therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.3 and he be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition to respondent No.3 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.3 shall decide the representation within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.4119 **सन्** 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

the representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law.

However, the effect and operation of the impugned order in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed, till the representation of the petitioner is decided.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3201/2006 also stands disposed of.

C.C. as per Rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Barve

P.T.O.